

### **EDDP WP 3 – Migration**

### 1<sup>st</sup> DP-RAIL and DAC workshop

18 February, 2022



- 11:00 Welcome and objectives
- 11:10 Introduction to DAC migration
- 12:00 High level IT requirements for DAC migration (1/2)
- 12:15 Bio break
- 12:25 High level IT requirements for DAC migration (2/2)
- 13:30 Evaluation of implementation requirements
- 13:55 Wrap up and homework assignment



#### **11:00** Welcome and objectives

- 11:10 Introduction to DAC migration
- 12:00 High level IT requirements for DAC migration (1/2)
- 12:15 Bio break
- 12:25 High level IT requirements for DAC migration (2/2)
- 13:30 Evaluation of implementation requirements
- 13:55 Wrap up and homework assignment

# Focus of todays workshop is on developing IT requirements for DAC migration





- EDDP WP3 Migration to work out the following aspects in Q1/2022
  - IT requirements for DAC migration
  - Approximate time required to prepare IT systems
  - Order of magnitude of required IT investments
- Opportunity for DP and DAC initiatives for close collaboration as DP-Rail ...
  - Bundles the IT expertise of the rail freight sector
  - May benefit in terms of practical use cases
- Lean workshop based approach proposed





11:00	Welcome and objectives
11:10	Introduction to DAC migration
12:00	High level IT requirements for DAC migration (1/2)
12:15	Bio break
12:25	High level IT requirements for DAC migration (2/2)
13:30	Evaluation of implementation requirements
13:55	Wrap up and homework assignment

### The technical design for DAC is not compatible with screw couplers - migration feasibility to be checked Complication





Locomotives

- Chosen design (Scharfenberg) is not compatible with prevalent screw couplers
- Adapter wagons (SC/DAC) required to couple DAC wagons to a SC train
- Hybrid couplers foreseen for locomotives only (high cost / complexity)
- hybrid coupler tested at SBB Cargo can be mechanically switched into 2 different positions

EDDP WP3 migration

- Feasibility-check of • migration
- **Development of** ٠ alternative migration scenarios
- Evaluation of ٠ alternative scenarios, recommendation

# The size and structure of the overall wagon fleet for migration is still not well understood!



Migration scope - Wagon fleet analysis, in individual wagons



- Summary information according to web site, information on individual wagons not accessible to non-signatories
- Private wagon keepers: xxxT wagons; RUs and others: xxxT
- Based on data request to RUs, DB Cargo Deutschland, Lineas, RCA, SBB Cargo, SNCF fret have answered
- Includes *all wagons moved at least once*, should represent large part of central/western European fleet, extrapolation needed
- Based on data request to wagon keepers, xx of yy large keepers have provided information based on individual wagons
- Current information status highly unsatisfactory and too low for extrapolation
  - Hypothesis: GCU broker might be significantly overstated
  - Coordinated actions to improve situation are need (e.g., very early registration requirement for access to public funding)

# Assessment of DAC retrofit for locomotives is complex, as a high share of units are very old and fleet diversity is high

Locomotive fleet, in units by year of production



Enabled by Europe's Rail

**LUROPEAN DAC** 

DELIVERY PROGRAMME

- European locomotive fleet overview gathered through provision of SCI database
- Assessment of DAC retrofit complex due to
  - High share of very old fleet esp. in diesel segment with missing vendor support
  - High fleet diversity
- As many loco types are used by multiple RUs / keepers, coordinated assessment of technical prerequisites for retrofit suggested in order to save time / effort
- Dedicated task force required

### Mixed fleet in train (line) operations is feasible given adapter wagons and solution for damaged wagon handling

Operational implications (1/3) – train runs with mixed coupler fleets



UROPEAN DAC

DELIVERY PROGRAMME Enabled by Europe's Rail

DACcelerate

Loco

### Mixed coupler operations lead to strong capacity loss of yards - single coupler operations strongly preferred Operational implications (2/3) – Marshalling / shunting yards





- Requirements of 2 sorting tracks for each direction, versus 1 sorting track in normal operations
- Coupling of blocks via adapter wagon prior to departure
- Lower utilization of sorting tracks if frequency per direction kept constant leading to reduced yard capacity
- Additional need of track(s) to collect adapter wagons

ensure proper orientation of couplers in sorting tracks for adapter wagons

#### **Evaluation**

- Well suited strategy for yards with very low sorting track utilization
- Not suited for yards with high sorting track utilization, as • destinations cannot be cut in half
- The use of hybrid adapter wagons would simplify yard operations •
- "Motley train" operations with DAC and SC wagons on a single ٠ sorting track lead to massive capacity shortage of the hill (inserting of adapters)
- Validated by simulation of Munich Nord

### **Customer sidings may also experience massive operational issues with mixed fleet ops – to be analysed individually** Operational implications (3/3) – customer siding example

ADDRESS PROVIDE CALIFORNIA AND A DECK NOR ADDRESS ADDRE

• Neuss harbour is a typical fluvial port with multiple customer sidings, commodities, and rail transport products

- Main inbound
  - unit trains intermodal
  - wagon groups finished cars
  - unit train UK Aluminium
  - unit trains Mineral oil prod.
  - single wagons various

#### • Main outbound

- unit trains intermodal
- unit trains iron ore (not operated)
- wagon groups finished cars
- wagon groups aluminium
- single wagons various
- Mixed coupler operations would lead to massive capacity loss in the customer siding operations
- Customer sidings to be analysed individually



LUROPEAN DAC

DELIVERY PROGRAMME Enabled by Europe's Rail

Source: EDDP WP 3

#### Segmentation of flows for migration should primarily be based on wagon usage and train type Segmentation of transport flows



 Opportunity for temporary migration

		Dedicated wagons	Pool wagons
Segments that car migrated serially	be Unit trains	<ol> <li>Unit trains merry-go- round</li> <li>Unit trains, wagons switched between ODs</li> </ol>	
TRAIN	Network trains	<ul> <li>Wagon groups with separate traffic</li> <li>patterns and dedicated wagons</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3. Unit trains, empty wagons returning in SWL</li> <li>5. Wagon groups (partially) integrated in SWL, dispatch of empties in SWL</li> <li>6. SWL</li> </ul>

WAGON USEAGE

SBB Cargo successful domestic intermodal pilot is example for segment 4.

- Temporary migration possible by assigning dedicated wagon fleet
- Need for larger wagon fleet/potential capacity loss in case of wagon scarcity

### The segments with dedicated wagon pools may be migrated based on different alternatives



Migration alternatives



#### The main migration issue resides in the Core Wagonload System (CWS) that cannot be operated in a mixed mode Segmentation of transport flows





• Temporary migration possible by assigning dedicated wagon fleet

Need for larger wagon fleet/potential capacity loss in case of wagon scarcity

# A big bang migration is the only operationally feasible option for the CWS







### To ensure feasibility of big bang, the technical migration of CWS wagons must be done in 3 steps

**LUROPEAN DAC** DELIVERY PROGRAMME Enabled by Europe's Rail DACcelerate

VALIDATED VIA PILOT RETROFIT

possible)

• Optimal time frame still

to be determined

3-step technical migration of CWS wagons

#### CWS in "100% SC mode" CWS in "100% DAC mode" B "DAC ready" preparation DAC type-2 retrofit DAC type-4/5 upgrade Objective Avoid surprises during • Enable full "D"-benefits Enable mechanical DAC retrofit\* operations of DAC Minimize work in/ Maximize retrofit Use revisions to avoid Technical retrofit is main prerequisites for step B throughput through additional nonbottleneck for migration and potentially C (e.g. minimal requirements availability (do much as

• Migration principally requires only mechanical part of DAC (type 2)

• 200+ thousand wagons

of CWS to be retrofitted in short period in order

to avoid mixed coupler

**Considerations** 

operations

•

 Use planned maintenance slots to avoid additional nonavailability

no lifting)

Minimized requirements for big bang!

and minimized retrofit

time per wagon

# Retrofitting of fixed wagon pairs will further enhance chances for big bang migration substantially

Fixed wagon pairs in CWS



C Screw coupler

# CWS in "100% SC mode" Pre-Big-Bang Post-Big-Bang Output <p

- Set-up of fixed pairs of identical wagons that cannot be split for operational purposes
- Migrate inner couplers to DAC

- Connect multiple fixed pairs of identical wagons
- Recouple so that DAC is now "outside" and SC is "inside"
- Pairs still to be kept fixed for operational purposes

- Retrofit remaining SC with DAC
- Dissolve fixed pairs after migration

First assessment: appears feasible for up to 75% of CWS fleet but strongly dependant on customer loading ops





\* Share of SC wagons in CWS would strongly impact the economic viability of CWS operations and DAC business case benefits Source: EDDP WP 3

#### The interim results of our work have been co-developed and discussed with many stakeholders







11:00	Welcome and objectives
-------	------------------------

- 11:10 Introduction to DAC migration
- 12:00 High level IT requirements for DAC migration (1/2)
- 12:15 Bio break
- 12:25 High level IT requirements for DAC migration (2/2)
- 13:30 Evaluation of implementation requirements
- 13:55 Wrap up and homework assignment

### We want to develop and evaluate high-level IT-requirements with you in 3 steps

High-level IT-requirements



Focus

Scope: Migration requirements to DAC-2 only, i.e., without "D"igitalization use cases to reduce complexity				
Ideation	High level specification	Evaluation		
10 min brainstorming: every participant to create up to 5 IT-requirements Grouping of ideas during bio break by DAC migration team	<ul> <li>Brief discussion of each idea</li> <li>Provide high level description (not more than 2 bullets)</li> <li>Jointly assess priority (mandatory, nice-to-have, not needed)</li> <li>Identify potential candidates of IT-requirements for realization within DP-Rail</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>By stakeholder, high level estimate of <ul> <li>Time to realization</li> <li>IT-Budget need</li> </ul> </li> <li>Extrapolation to sector level</li> </ul>		

# We have jointly developed ideas for IT-requirements in an online exercise ...



IT-requirements ideation (1/2)



# ... and have clustered and jointly clarified the collected ideas



IT-requirements ideation (2/2)



\*Upgrade GCU broker? - to include up to date information

REQ: (Realtime?) data exchange between all parties. Geographical location might be needed.

The ideas have been further specified in a separate text document

Source: DP-Rail / EDDP WP3 workshop 18 February, 2022

### **Current DAC-related IT-requirements in TAF TSI** are only rudimentary

DAC related IT-requirements in TAF TSI



BACKU



In the TAF TSI Technical Appendices we have a record called "RollingStockReferenceData" where the element "CouplingType" contains references to the couplings. There is a Change Request underway here (N° 593 - see attached) that will be completed in 2022. This will change the possible values

#### 40 = DAC4

- 41 = DAC4 and manual coupling 1 (non-reinforced coupler < 85 t)
- 42 = DAC4 and manual coupling 2 (reinforced coupler = 85 t)
- 43 = DAC4 and manual coupling 3 (ultra-reinforced coupler > 85 t)
- 44 = DAC4 and automatic coupling (non DAC)
- 50 = DAC5
- 51 = DAC5 and manual coupling 1 (non-reinforced coupler < 85 t)
- 52 = DAC5 and manual coupling 2 (reinforced coupler = 85 t)
- 53 = DAC5 and manual coupling 3 (ultra-reinforced coupler > 85 t)
- 54 = DAC5 and automatic coupling (non DAC)



- 11:00 Welcome and objectives
- 11:10 Introduction io DAC migration
- 12:00 High level IT requirements for DAC migration (1/2)
- 12:15 Bio break
- 12:25 High level IT requirements for DAC migration (2/2)
- **13:30** Evaluation of implementation requirements
- 13:55 Wrap up and homework assignment

### We want to develop and evaluate high-level IT-requirements with you in 3 steps

**High-level IT-requirements** 



Focus

#### Scope: Migration requirements to DAC-2 only, i.e., without "D" igitalization use cases to reduce complexity Ideation **High level specification Evaluation**

- 10 min brainstorming: every participant Brief discussion of each idea to create 5 lt-requirements
- Grouping of ideas during bio break by DAC migration team
- Provide high level description (not more than 2 bullets)
- Jointly assess priority (mandatory, niceto-have, not needed)
- Identify potential candidates of ITrequirements for realization within DP-Rail

• By stakeholder, high level estimate of

Time to realization **IT-Budget need** 

• Extrapolation to sector level

# Each participant is asked to guesstimate the required budget and time to realize the identified requirements

#### Objective and methodology



Scope	<ul> <li>Includes the realization of the above IT-requirements in the planning and operations IT of your own company</li> <li>Excludes potential further IT requirements for DAC migration planning/execution (e.g., simulations, capacity planning tools, etc.) and for the integration of the digital capacities of DAC</li> </ul>
Aspired accuracy         Required information         on company level         • Overall IT budget need for all parties involved in	<ul> <li>We aspire to develop the first guesstimate for IT costs of DAC migration on sector level – so far no information is available at all</li> <li>Since this is a time-boxed exercise (due date 18 March, 2022), we expect a first guesstimate of an order of magnitude. We are well aware that the guesstimate will have a high uncertainty</li> <li>We will aggregate the feedback of the various stakeholders and will not publish individual feedback of participants. Thus, we kindly ask you to be courageous and provide us your guesstimate on a best effort basis</li> <li>As the introduction of DAC does not go along with intensive data capturing,</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>DAC</li> <li>Overall realization timeline (assuming budget is available)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>You are free to choose a process to develop your guesstimate. Potentially, the following methodology could serve as guidance</li> <li>Domain analysis for each IT requirements to identify IT applications impacted</li> <li>Develop high level understanding of required changes</li> </ul>
Please also see the separate Excel tool provided	<ul> <li>Estimate budget / time requirement based on analogy to previous projects</li> <li>Please provide estimates per requirement so that we can check completeness. We will not differentiate the resulting budget need on sector level by IT-requirement since we expect accuracy to be too low. Your estimates should focus on the cost of IT-development which we expect to be dominant</li> <li>Overall time requirement should be given as time span from a hypothetical fixed date on which</li> </ul>
	budget will be confirmed

Source: EDDP WP 3



- 11:00 Welcome and objectives
- 11:10 Introduction to DAC migration
- 12:00 High level IT requirements for DAC migration (1/2)
- 12:15 Bio break
- 12:25 High level IT requirements for DAC migration (2/2)
- 13:30 Evaluation of implementation requirements
- **13:55** Wrap up and homework assignment

### In the next workshop we will focus on the results of the evaluation of the IT requirements



#### Proposed approach

- EDDP WP3 Migration to work out the following aspects in Q1/2022
  - IT requirements for DAC migration
  - Approximate time required to prepare IT systems
  - Order of magnitude of required IT investments
- Opportunity for DP and DAC initiatives for close collaboration as DP-Rail ...
  - Bundles the IT expertise of the rail freight sector
  - May benefit in terms of practical use cases
- Lean workshop based approach proposed



### **Next steps**



List of high level IT-requirement DAC migration team 22/02/22 provided to participants



Assessment of IT-requirementsParticipants18/03/22for own organisation providedto DAC migration team18/03/22

Synthesis of results as basis for DAC migration team 24/03/22 workshop 2